

propertymark

**Mr Mark Crampton-Smith  
MARLA**

**Director, College and County  
Ltd t/a College and County**

**Disciplinary Tribunal Decision**

**November 2020**

# Disciplinary Tribunal Decision

**Member:** Mr Mark Crampton-Smith MARLA

**Position:** Director

**Company/Employer:** College and County Limited t/a College and County

**Address:** 9-10 St Clements Street, Oxford, OX4 1AB

**Reference:** X0059419

**Date:** 17 November 2020

## A. INTRODUCTION

A Disciplinary Tribunal of Propertymark Limited was convened on 17 November 2020 using the Zoom virtual conferencing platform to consider the case against Mr Mark Crampton-Smith MARLA.

The panel members were Mr Richard Hair PPNAEA (Honoured) (member panellist acting as the Chairperson for the Tribunal), Mr Richard Copus CPEA FNAEA (Honoured) FNAVA (member panellist) and Mr Clive Wood (lay panellist).

The presenting Case Officer for Propertymark was Miss Laura Hanley-Gorton.

The member was in attendance at the hearing.

The hearing took place in private and was recorded.

## B. ALLEGATIONS

The Tribunal considered the allegations set out in the case summary sent to Mr Crampton-Smith on 27 October 2020.

It was alleged that Mr Crampton-Smith had acted in contravention of the requirements of the following Propertymark Conduct and Membership Rules.

### **Propertymark Conduct and Membership Rules**

#### **13 General duty to uphold high standards of ethical and professional behaviour**

13.1 No member shall do any act (whether in business or otherwise) which:

13.1.2 Involves other unprofessional practice or practice that is unfair to members of the public; and/or

13.1.3 In any other way brings Propertymark or any of its divisions or subsidiaries into disrepute.

## C. DECISION

The Tribunal considered the evidence provided by the Case Officer and the submissions from Mr Crampton-Smith before reaching their findings.

Rule 13.1.2	-	Admitted
-------------	---	----------

Rule 13.1.3	-	Not proven
-------------	---	------------

Mr Crampton-Smith submitted a plea in mitigation.

## D. SANCTIONS

Rule 13.1.2	-	£425
-------------	---	------

In addition, costs were imposed of £163 against Mr Crampton-Smith in favour of Propertymark.

## E. PUBLICATION

The Tribunal's decision falls within the Propertymark publication policy.

## F. CLOSING STATEMENT

The Tribunal made the following statement:

"We feel that this case can be a lesson to us all and we do not expect to see this member again"